



Finding a better milk

Author: Shane McMaster

This resource was developed as a result of participation in CSIRO's teacher professional learning program, Teacher Researcher in Partnership Program.

© Finding a Better Milk (created by Shane McMaster) (2018). Copyright owned by Queensland Department of Education. Except as otherwise noted, this work is licenced under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Finding a better milk

Scientific processes in a real-world context

The TRiPP project that I undertook involved 3 days working at the CSIRO lab at QLD Biosciences Precinct St Lucia with Dr Simone Osborne. The project centred around food futures and the search for a better natural milk to use for infant/baby formula. The project involved simulation on gastric and intestinal digestion and then testing the digesta for the degree of digestion, toxicity on intestinal cell lines and inflammation response. Within this project I was able to work with advanced equipment in a specialist lab environment, conducting relevant and cutting-edge research. Equipment like PC2 fume hoods, culture incubators and robotic autosamplers which normally aren't available in schools was able to be used and explored. I also had the opportunity to work with another teacher who was on the project, which was a great addition to my experience, working collaboratively.

The project was very valuable and refreshed, for me, the idea of linking science to real-world contexts to make it more relevant and exciting. The procedures we undertook, and the labs within which we undertook them are much higher level than what can be achieved in a high school context, however. In order to bring the TRiPP experience back to the classroom I took inspiration in the use of the scientific method to solve real-world problems, as well as adapting the experiment to a more classroom-friendly method.

Nature of the problem

In keeping with the original research aims of the TRiPP project, the problem given to students will be based around researching milk alternatives. Their aim will be to experiment on Cow, Goat and Soy milk to establish which is the most easily digested. The intention is to take a small part of the research into milk in the future that could be realistically achieved in a year 8 science lab.

Students will extend on their knowledge of the digestive system and chemical changes in this project. As well as this they will be exposed to new content around enzymes, protein structures and chemical indicators. Students will also develop their science inquiry skills as they learn to apply the scientific method to the context of food biochemistry. They will be exposed to equipment and techniques that they haven't used before such as; pipettes, water baths, indicators and volumetric flasks, as well as accurately producing diluted samples and the use of controls. Given the level of the students though, the task was scaffolded so that all students conducted the same experiment, though it was open-ended in terms of the end results.

Suitable year levels and subject area

This investigation has been designed to be used with year 8 students, though could easily be used with years 9 and 10 as well. It only addresses the science discipline of STEAM directly, however students can incorporate technology aspects in their reflection. It will pull directly from existing science content knowledge as well as address students' use of the scientific process.

It is being considered that this experiment is adapted to suit a year 12 chemistry unit on food and biochemistry. In that case it will be more open-ended and require students to design most parts of the experiment and to more thoroughly explain the results and their potential implications.



Learning objectives

Through this project students will complete the following learning objectives:

- Students will learn to use Biuret indicator to analyse protein content
- Students will learn how to dilute samples for analysis
- Students will learn how enzymes work in protein digestion
- Students will learn how to take accurate measurements using pipettes

Students learning outcomes

By the end of this project it is expected that students will:

- Understand that different milks are digested differently
- Understand how to conduct an experiment based on real-world contexts
- Be able to evaluate experiments to identify its validity and accuracy
- Understand how studying food could support the health of future populations

Format and assessment

The format of this unit will be as a week-long classroom investigation. Students will have to complete a scaffolded experimental report template as they plan, conduct and analyse the investigation. The experiment will be conducted in groups, with the report being independent. The report is a formative assessment and will be assessed via a criteria sheet at the back of the template. Students will be required to self-assess and then participate in class discussions about the experiment and their efforts.

ICT

No ICT opportunities are foreseen with this project.



Finding a better milk

Investigation


Context and background

Milk and dairy products have been used for human nutrition long before agriculture was developed. Milk is defined as “an opaque white fluid rich in fat and protein, secreted by female mammals for the nourishment of their young”. It is used by all mammals, though humans were the first to use the milk of another animal to feed their young. Baby formula is used by mothers who cannot, or choose not to, feed their young with their own milk. Dairy based baby formula is currently made almost exclusively from cow’s milk.

Alongside these social issues of the use cow’s milk, some biological concerns have been raised regarding the consumption of cow’s milk. In particular, some evidence suggests that cow’s milk is not easily digested and in fact causes inflammation, an irritation to the intestines, as it is digested. This can be a particularly important issue when looking at the developing guts of babies.

Milks are composed of three main biological components: Sugars, fats (lipids) and proteins. These are digested as they move through the digestive system by enzymes (biological catalysts).

Proteins are made up of long chains of amino acids connected together by peptide bonds. When they are digested, they are broken down into chunks that are only two amino acids long and eventually single amino acids. Different proteins will be broken down differently however. How easily they are broken down plays a big role in how much nutrition can be obtained from them and also whether they irritate the intestines.



Aim

To determine which milk is most easily digested. Cow’s milk, Goat’s milk and Soy milk will be provided for testing. Given that this will be undertaken in a junior science laboratory this investigation will be used to make some preliminary conclusions and determine if further study is needed.

What is the independent variable for this experiment?


Risk management

HAZARD	RISK	MANAGEMENT
Biuret indicator and Protease enzyme	Irritation and poisoning from spills or ingestion	
Broken glass	Cuts and abrasions from broken glass	
Chemical wastes	Environmental contamination risks	

Method background

The Biuret test is a simple test that is used to detect proteins. It uses a blue solution of copper sulfate and sodium hydroxide which turns purple when a chain of three or more amino acids is present. The copper sulfate reacts with the peptide bonds between the amino acids and turns purple. The more proteins that are present, the darker the shade of purple. Pink indicates a medium amount of proteins. If no proteins are present, the solution will be blue. Therefore, the colour can be used to estimate the concentration of proteins.

This experiment will use protease enzyme, an enzyme that breaks down proteins, and a water bath set to 37°C to simulate the body's digestive conditions. The samples will 'digest' for 30 min in the water bath before being tested.



Example of Biuret test colour range

Source: <http://brilliantbiologystudent.weebly.com/biuret-test-for-protein.html>

What is the dependent variable for this experiment?

Describe how the dependent variable is going to be measured.



Equipment and materials

- Samples of cow's, goat's and soy milk
- 3x 100ml volumetric flask
- 3x 10ml pipette
- Distilled water
- Biuret indicator
- Protease enzyme
- Water bath
- 6x medium test tubes
- Test tube rack
- Permanent marker
- 1x Disposable 1ml pipettes

Sample preparation

For this investigation you will be using a 5% solution of each milk.

1. Label three 100ml volumetric flasks. One each with cow's, goat's and soy
2. Use the pipettes to take a 5ml sample Cow's milk and place it into the labelled volumetric flask. Fill the flask up to the line with distilled water and place the stopper on top.
3. Gently invert the flask three times to mix.
4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 for Goat's and Soy milk.

Protein determination method

1. Label one medium test tube "Undigested cow's" and another "Digested cow's".
2. Using the pipette place 10ml of the prepared Cow's milk solution into each test tube.
3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 for the remaining milks.
4. Place 1ml of protease enzyme into the "digested" test tubes using the disposable pipette.
5. Place the three "digested" test tubes into the water bath and leave for 15 minutes.
6. After 15 minutes, remove the test tubes from the water bath. Add one squirt of Biuret indicator to each of the "undigested" and "digested" test tubes. Gently shake to mix and record observations of colour after 1 minute.

Results

MILK TYPE	OBSERVED COLOUR	
	UNDIGESTED	DIGESTED
Cow		
Goat		
Soy		

Observations



Analysis of results

Using your results and observations explain which milk, if any, is most easily digested.

Discussion

1. Are these results qualitative or quantitative? How would this affect the reliability of the results?

2. Do the result you obtained match with other groups? Why/Why not?

3. Was your experiment a fair test? Explain your response.

4. What could be done to increase the reliability of this experiment? Suggest two changes that could make the experiment more reliable/fair.

Conclusion

Which milk would be best to use in baby formula in the future? Is it possible to make a responsible decision from these results? Was the aim successfully fulfilled? How could this investigation be extended using these results? (Answer in full sentences and paragraphs)



Self-assessment

Mark yourself honestly based on how you feel you did

ASSESSABLE ELEMENTS	DESCRIPTORS					OVERALL	
	A	B	C	D	E		
THE STUDENT WORK DEMONSTRATES EVIDENCE OF:							
Planning and conducting	Planning of investigations that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> identify and describe how variables are changed, measured and controlled Accurate collection of reliable data	Planning of investigations that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> identify and describe how variables are changed, measured and controlled Accurate collection of data	Planning of investigations that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> identify variables to be changed, measured and controlled 	Partial planning of investigations that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> identify variables to be changed, measured and controlled 	Use of provided investigations methods		
Processing and analysing data and information and evaluating	Use of these patterns and trends when explaining relationships to justify conclusions	Use of these patterns and trends when describing relationships when justifying conclusions	Use of these patterns and trends when justifying conclusions	Drawing of conclusions	Statements about data		
Evaluating	Evaluation of the quality of data to justify the explanation of how effective modifications to methods could improve the quality of their data	Explanation of how effective modifications to methods could improve the quality of their data	Explanation of how modifications to methods could improve the quality of their data	Description of modifications to methods	Statements about modifications		
Communicating	Concise and coherent use of appropriate language and accurate representations to communicate science ideas, methods and findings in a range of text types	Coherent use of appropriate language and accurate representations to communicate science ideas, methods and findings in a range of text types	Use of appropriate language and representations to communicate science ideas, methods and findings in a range of text types	Use of everyday language and representations to communicate science ideas, methods and findings	Fragmented use of language and representations to communicate science ideas, methods and findings		

